



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/665,065	09/19/2000		Kamel Shaath	38898-172161	5244		
26694	7590	04/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
VENABLE,	BAETJE	R, HOWARD A	KALINOWSKI,	KALINOWSKI, ALEXANDER G			
P.O. BOX 34385				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			3626				

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/665,065	SHAATH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alexander Kalinowski	3626		

before the filling of all Appeal bile!	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander Kalinowski	3626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in complianc	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. X The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	eal, but prior to the date of filing a	anneal brief. The N	otice of Appeal			
was filed on <u>05 March 2005</u> . A brief in compliance with 3 Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed vameNDMENTS	37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid d	two months of the da ismissal of the appea	te of filing the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brid	f will not be entered	hoogusa			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / monamen	(1 10L-02-1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 38-46</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		•			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	Joseph C			
		Alexander Kalinow Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3626	⁄ski			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04) Art Unit: 3626

Applicant proposed amending independent claims 1, 8, and 38 by adding the limitation of "... having associated therewith a set of <u>lifecycle</u> policies relating to file storage locations within said storage medium ...". Applicant further argues that the Shaath '642 patent does not disclose <u>lifecycle</u> policies relating to file storage locations within said storage medium. The Examiner disagrees. Shaath clearly discloses archiving file(s) to an archiving server after 30 days if the file(s) are not accessed (col. 5, lines 13-29). Shaath also discloses that after another 30 days of non-use, the files are then stored on optical media. Clearly, Shaath discloses lifecycle policies as claimed since the cited portion of Shaath discloses when a file should be archived or moved to another location. Therefore, Applicant's arguments are deemed nonpersuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Kalinowski, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM. In addition, the examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/665,065

Art Unit: 3626

If any attempt to reached the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas, can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-7687 (for official communications including After Final communications labeled "Box AF").

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th Floor, receptionist.

Alexander Kalinowski

Allotade Calidonale.

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3626

3/25/05